

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DCC 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 786.963	03/12/2001	Mamoru Miyamori	JG-SU-5047-5	8292
26418 75	590 09 16 2002			
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.  29/786,963 Applicant(s) Migamori et al  Examiner George Group Art Unit  1761					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -						
Period for Reply	4.7					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE					
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution.						
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>						
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
(Claim(s)	are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
(Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers requirement						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International E *Certified copies not received:	· "					
Attachment(s)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	S = 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					
Office Action Summary						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/786,963

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not limited to a single paragraph and it includes such legal phraseology as "means" (lines 2, 4, 8 and 12). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification is objected to for the following reasons:

- 1. The word "works" used throughout the specification is improper and it should apparently read -- food products -- or -- foods -- (see, for example, the last line of the abstract).
- 2. Pages 3-13 of the specification are objected to since they make reference to the originally filed claims 1-16 which can obviously be substituted by new amended claims in an amendment to be filed by applicants. Cancellation of reference to claims 1-16 in the specification is required.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention for the following reasons:

- 1. The words "works" and "work" used throughout the claims are improper and misdescriptive. The change of "works" to -- food products -- or -- foods -- would obviate this rejection.
- 2. While the preamble of claims 1-3 calls for a smoking method, claims 1-3 fail to recite a positive manipulative step of treating food products within the chamber with smoke to

Application/Control Number: 09/786,963

Art Unit: 1761

thereby manufacture smoked food products (see page 1, lines 3-13 of the instant specification).

Thus claims 1-3 are indefinite and incomplete.

3. It is not clear what is intended by "so as not to start discharge" as recited in claims 1-3 and 5-7, last line of each.

4. Claim 4 is improper in the recitation of "the adjacent electrode plate". Note that no antecedent basis has been established in claim 3 or claim 4 for "the adjacent electrode plate" recited in claim 4, lines 2-3."

- 5. The phrase "anyone of claims 1 through 3" recited in claim 4, line 1 is improper.

  The change of this phrase to -- any one of claims 1, 2, and 3 -- would overcome this rejection.
- 6. The limitation "adapted to transport" recited in claim 5, lines 5-6, and the limitation "adapted to support" recited in claim 6, line 3 are indefinite. The change of these limitations to -- for transporting -- and -- for supporting -- would obviate this rejection.
- 7. The word "adapted" recited in claim 5, last line is indefinite and superfluous and it should be deleted.
- 8. The phrase "anyone of claims 5 through 7" recited in claims 8-10, 12, 14 and 15 is improper. The change of this phrase to -- any one of claims 5, 6, and 7 -- would overcome this rejection.
- 9. Claim 8 is improper in the recitation of "the adjacent electrode plate". Note that no antecedent basis has been established in claim 7 or claim 8 for "the adjacent electrode plate" recited in claim 8, lines 3-4.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 09/786,963 Art Unit: 1761 Claim 11 is an improper multiple dependent claim because a multiple dependent 10. claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim, either directly or indirectly. See MPEP section 608.01(n). Claims 1-16 are free of the prior art. Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The Lieberman patent is cited to show a method of smoking food products. The Hanley et al patent is cited to show a process of smoking meat. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Yeung whose telephone number is (703) 308-3848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 A.M. to 7:00 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661. G. C. Yeung/mn GEORGE C. YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER September 13, 2002